

MEMORANDUM

24 January 1952

TO:

Chief, SR

THRU:

Deputy Chief, SR/SO

FROM:

Chief, DOB

SUBJECT: Phasing Out of MAT

1. Attached is a study for the transfer of and phasing out of MAT to DOB.

2. The necessary DOB T/O, and additional requirements to carry out the DOB mission outlined in the attached study has already been submitted.

> DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007



PHASING OUT OF MAT

I. BACKGROUND

To improve security of the training and dispatch of REDSOX agents, it is imperative that training being conducted at MAT be terminated as quickly as possible.

The following is a resume of CSOB projects in training at MAT and their dispatch dates:

a.	CASINO (- by May 1952
b.	AEQUOR (Byelorussian)	- by April 1952 - by 1952
c.	CACETA (Byelorussian)	4- 1 — A 700P*
d.	CARCASS/AEROSOL	- by September 1952
e.	AERODYNAMIC (Ukrainian)	- by September 1952 2
f.	AECOB (Latvian)	- by May 1952
g.	CAPSTAN (Lithuanian)	- by June 1952

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. In order to allow the Domestic Operations Base to build up its staff to take over the MAT training commitment, the following personnel are recommended for transfer to the U.S. According to their qualifications, they will be assigned either to DOB staff or to the pool of DOB contract instructors.

<u>Name</u>		Tentative DOB Assignment	ETD Germany
va.	7	Chief of Operations	1 April 1952
b. CARCAJOU		Instructor	1 April 1952
c. CALLIDO		Instructor	1 June 1952
td. CASPIAN		Instructor	1 July 1952 >
0.	}	Training Mat. Section	1 January 1953
£ of	7	Staff	1 January 1953 —
80		Airborne Section Airborne Section	1 October 1952
. (•	
·			
		en en en en	

Stall and marginates
In addition to the above requested personnel for the DOB staff, Chief, CSOB, will have to transfer to DOB certain case officers to handle the training and implementation of individual projects. The suggested assignments of these c/o's is shown below, based on their past experience and specialization.

If an agreement on Baltic operations is reached with the British during conferences in Washington, at the end of January 1952, DOB is planning to provide the following training facilities.

	mix		_	
Training Unit	Hq. Staff Personnel	Personnel	Agents	Time Training
(by nationality)	Available or in	Required	to be	Unit Be
	Process (*)	from CSOB	Recruited	Activated at
	,		in	DOB (estimated)
Byelorussian (AEQUOR)	2 case oslesses		U.S.	1 July 1952
) ³⁻⁷⁰		•	
Gr. Russian (NTS) ((CARCASS/AEROSOL)	= 2 cuse varies	Carral & R	U.S., Europe or Africa	1 October 1952
		CACCOLA 9 CACCOLA 9	:	
Ukrainian (AERODYNAMIC)	= 2 L'une o styles	Carthag 3	W.E., U.S., Canada	1 Sept. 1952
. 4		Carthage 10	2	
Singleton School A (CASINO)	2 Cuse ostrers	CALLIDO _	W.H. or U.S.	1 Aug. 1952
Singleton School B	- 1) Chall 11 11-		7	

If negotiations are not successful with the British to establish joint Baltic Operations in the U.K., the following additional operations will be moved to DOB:

Latvian (AECOB)] GS-9	U.S.	1 June 1952
Lithuanian (CAPSTAN/AEONIAN) Est man ?], GS-7	U.S.	CAPSTAN training will be dropped in Germany after Sept. 1952 dispatch & continued
(*) Iden	as AEONIAN at DOB		



The above tentative dates are staggered from 1 July through 1 October 1952, thereby allowing gradual phasing of training units into DOB. This will allow DOB to absorb the training units gradually and cut down mass movement of personnel from CSOB.

- 2. Concurrently with the gradual phasing out of MAT, CSOB would establish such covert training facilities away from the MAT area as required to handle the cut-down CSOB training program. In addition to actual training, facilities must be provided for the holding of agents trained at DOB prior to dispatch from Germany. At the present time it is felt that a minimum of 30 days will be required to finally brief in commo an agent in Germany before he is ready for dispatch.
- 3. To be able to implement the above recommendations, it is requested that Chief, CSOB, give his comments on the above, and provide details on the following:
 - a. Dates of projected transfer of above projects to DOB.

available.

b. Type of training units involved in specific projects, (i.e. size, PM or intelligence, length of training, etc.)

c. Names of staff personnel to be moved to DOB. with the specific projects. (This would be two lists, one if Baltic training is conducted in the U.K., and the other, if Baltic training must be moved to DOB.)

A. 22.570.1